



Primary Care Networks Overview Pack

HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN

Pack produced - January 2023 - NHS Hertfordshire & West Essex ICB

Produced by Hertfordshire & West Essex ICB's - Population Health Management & Public Health Teams



Working together for a healthier future

Population Health Management





Population Health Management (PHM) is a partnership approach across the NHS and other public services including councils, the public, schools, fire service, voluntary sector, housing associations, social services and police. All have a role to play in addressing the interdependent issues that affect people's health and wellbeing.

The coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has further highlighted the known link between poorer health outcomes, ethnicity and deprivation. Integrated care systems, working with the local authority and the voluntary sector, have used PHM to identify people who need more support and those with the most complex needs within their localities, so that efforts can be targeted to protect certain populations through personalised care models, public health advice, testing and vaccination programmes.

For the public, it should mean that health and care services are more proactive in helping people to manage their health and wellbeing, provide more personalised care when it's needed and that local services are working together to offer a wider range of support closer to people's homes.

For doctors, nurses, social care, therapists and other frontline staff, this should mean greater support and insight from integrated care systems to enable care and support to be designed and proactively delivered to meet individual needs – it should mean less duplication and a reduction in workload pressures as it ensures the right care is given at the right time by the right person.

For local councils, health care managers and clinicians who commission services – greater understanding of the local population will ensure they can better predict what residents need. PCNs are key to this, as health and care providers work together and take collective responsibility for the care and support offered to improve outcomes, they can use their resources to keep people healthier.

Key Messages



Hitchin & Whitwell PCN can be seen with a slightly older population profile compared to England. The majority of people live within the 2 least deprived deciles (9-10).

28% population have at least 1 Long Term Condition. 4.9% have more than 5 LTCs compared to 5.6% for the ICB. The population pyramid shows a similar profile to England for those living with long term conditions, with the exception of the age category 70 and above which is slightly higher.

Wider determinants analysis from Public Health Evidence and Intelligence shows Hitchin & Whitwell is one of the least deprived PCNs within the ICB across all indicators apart from Environment and to a lesser degree Fuel Poverty.

The spread of patients for Hitchin & Whitwell PCN indicates 30.61% of the population are not located within the Hertfordshire & West Essex boundaries; this means that this population may be accessing services outside of the ICB and the impact of coterminous alignment with neighbouring ICBs must be taken into account for this population by the PCN.

Expected population growth for North Hertfordshire district by the Local Authority, forecasts continued increase between 2023 through to 2034 which will bring additional demands for healthcare. Projections show an expected increase in the number of people over 65 from ~27k to ~32k.

When analysing the underlying Segmentation Model data the conditions with highest per 1,000 registered prevalence that are highlighted for Hitchin & Whitwell PCN are Depression, MH, Anxiety, COPD, Asthma, Cancer, Hypertension, Obesity, Heart Disease, AF and Chronic Cardiac disease.

Urgent & Emergency Care in 2022/23 for Hitchin & Whitwell A&E Attendance rates per 1,000 population, is below the place rate.

When comparing the Ambulatory Care Sensitive rates per 1,000 population between places, the East & North place has the lowest rate in the ICB. Within East & North place, Hitchin & Whitwell has a slightly lower rate per 1,000 population, than the average.

When looking at the ACS conditions for Hitchin & Whitwell the highest volume and cost is within the End of Life, Frailty and Dementia in the over 65 age group and the next highest volume and cost is for the over 65 and 41-64 age group in the Advanced Disease and Complexity segment as well.

Ambulatory Care Sensitive conditions of note for people aged over 65 within the Advanced Disease and Complexity, is highlighted as Heart Failure, COPD, AF and Flutter, with the highest volume and cost. For those people aged over 65 within the End of Life, Frailty & Dementia segment, Heart Failure, COPD and AF and Flutter, is highlighted with the highest volume and cost.

14.8% of the general population in HWE ICB live within the 4 most deprived deciles, whilst 16.1% of the population in the EoL, severe frailty and severe dementia segment live within the 4 most deprived deciles. In Hitchin & Whitwell 3.7% of the population in the EoL, severe frailty and severe dementia segment live within the 4 most deprived deciles.

As we would expect the average number of acute and chronic conditions is significantly higher within this segment that the general population as are all activity measures. The average number of Chronic Conditions for people within Hitchin & Whitwell PCN is slightly lower than the ICB, and the data shows significantly higher usage of GP services.

Within this segment we can see the presence of Chronic Cardiac Disease and Heart Disease being highlighted which chimes with the reason for admission within previous analysis for ACS conditions. It is to be noted that Hypertension is high across all deciles but slightly lower than the ICB. MH flag is also to be noted.

For Hitchin & Whitwell the data shows higher Heart Failure rates which was identified as a theme within the ACS analysis.

Source: HWE PHM Team

Demographics, Conditions & Segment Overview



National Tool View and Population Demographics and Projections

The following slides represent screen shots from the Nationally Available Tools provided via NHSE.

The information within these tools are used by NHSE to measure and monitor progress. There is some valuable information available within these tools, however the value of these tools is realised when the information within them is triangulated with local data and intelligence.

Public Health Wider Determinants

These provide context for understanding the wider population need, so as Integrated Neighbourhood Teams develop you have a shared understanding of the health and care needs of your population to inform the development of interventions for different patient groups.



PCN Demographics - NHS England



Total Population

HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN



 Demographics

 % White
 73.3%
 % IMD top
 0.1%

 % BAME
 10.6%
 % IMD bottom
 55.1%

 Prevalence

 % with 1+ conditions
 28.0%


 % with 5+ conditions
 2.8%

Acute utilisation
% of annual activity (total 103,113)
% of annual cost (total £31M)
100.0%

Covid
% one or more at risk conditions 16,4%
% two or more at risk conditions 6.4%

Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021

Population demographics - Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021






30%


Black line represents the England average


40% 50%



Choose benchmark: England

Prevalence - Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021





The Population & Person Insights dashboard has provided good overall summary metrics on the PCN's total population, here we have benchmarked views on standardised demographics, such as deprivation deciles, and proportion of the PCN population by number of conditions.

Hitchin & Whitwell PCN can be seen with a slightly older population profile compared to England. The majority of people live within the 2 least deprived deciles (9-10).

PCN Demographics - NHS England



LTC

HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN

Registered population % of annual change 3.9%

Demographics % BAME 10.5% % IMD bottom 54.2% Prevalence 100.0% % with 1+ conditions 4.9% % with 5+ conditions


Acute utilisation % of annual activity 50.0% (total 51,511) 42.5% % of annual cost (total £13M)

Covid % one or more at risk conditions % two or more at risk conditions 16.4%


Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021

Financial Year: 2020/21

Population demographics - Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021









Population proportion by IM Deprivation decile

Choose benchmark:



Prevalence - Snapshot as at: 30/06/2021

Population proportion by number of conditions





Number of conditions

When compared with the overall PCN demographics on the previous page, those in the PCN whom have an LTC as defined by NHS England, are benchmarked against the English averages, the view for the PCN shows us that 28% population have at least 1 Long Term Condition. 4.9% have more than 5 LTCs compared to 5.6% for the ICB.

The population pyramid shows a similar profile to England for those living with long term conditions, with the exception of the age category 70 and above which is slightly higher.

Source: NHS Digital (2022) https://apps.model.nhs.uk/report/PaPi

Practice Indicators - Triggers and Levels



Practice Indicators for		BANCE	ROFT MEDICAL (ENTRE	REGAL	. CHAMBERS SU	IRGERY	THE	PORTMILL SUR	GERY	w	HITWELL SURG	ERY
	HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN												
Clinical Domain	Indicator Name	Latest Score		Trigger Level	Latest Score		Trigger Level	Latest Score			Latest Score	Time Period	
Clinical Diagnosis	Detection rate Cancer	0.57	2020/21	No Trigger	0.667	2020/21	No Trigger	0.583	2020/21	No Trigger	0.625	2020/21	No Trigge
Coronary heart disease	% AF anticoagulation therapy CHADS2-VASc score >1	95.3	2020/21	Positive	90.8	2020/21	Positive	93.2	2020/21	Positive	100	2020/21	Positive
	% CHD aged <=79 BP reading 140/90mmHg or less	53.3	2020/21	Level 1	37	2020/21	Level 2	43.4	2020/21	Level 1	36.7	2020/21	Level 1
	% CHD cholesterol 5 mmol/l or less	55.3	2021/22	No Trigger	61.1	2021/22	No Trigger	50.4	2021/22	No Trigger	71.6	2020/21	No Trigge
	% hypertension aged <=79 BP reading 140/90mmHg or less	45.8	2020/21	Level 1	30.3	2020/21	Level 2	47.8	2020/21	Level 1	42.9	2020/21	Level 1
Diabetes	% Diabetes aged >=40 years no CVD history without moderate or severe frailty treated with statin	83.1	2020/21	Level 1	80.9	2020/21	Level 1	71.5	2020/21	Level 1	71.6	2020/21	Level 1
	% Diabetes without moderate or severe frailty BP 140/80 mmHg or less	42	2020/21	Level 1	28.9	2020/21	Level 2	34.9	2020/21	Level 2	35.5	2020/21	Level 2
	% diabetes without moderate or severe frailty IFCC-HbA1c is 58 mmol/mol or less	48.7	2020/21	Level 2	47.5	2020/21	Level 2	44.6	2020/21	Level 2	66.1	2020/21	Level 1
xception Rating	Overall Personalised Care Adjustment Rate	0.026	2020/21	No Trigger	0.043	2020/21	No Trigger	0.051	2020/21	No Trigger	0.031	2020/21	No Trigge
Aedicines Managemen	mt % antibiotics Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins, Quinolones	7.2	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	8.5	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	8.6	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	4.9	2021/22 Q4	Positive
	% Naproxen and Ibuprofen	72.4	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	87.8	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	65.8	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	82.2	2021/22 Q4	No Trigge
	Antibacterial Items/Star Pu	0.691	2021/22 Q4	Positive	0.794	2021/22 Q4	Positive	0.683	2021/22 Q4	Positive	0.834	2021/22 Q4	Positive
	Hypnotics ADQ/Star Pu	0.52	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	0.291	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	0.245	2021/22 Q4	Positive	0.201	2021/22 Q4	Positive
	Oral NSAIDS ADQs/STAR-PU	4.066	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	2.524	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	5.181	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	4.752	2021/22 Q4	No Trigge
lental Health	% first choice generic SSRIs	69.1	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	68.1	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	66.7	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	78.6	2021/22 Q4	Positive
	% MH comprehensive care plan	56.6	2020/21	Level 1	24.6	2020/21	Level 1	1.2	2020/21	Level 2	25	2020/21	Level 1
	% SMI alcohol record	21.7	2020/21	Level 2	23.3	2020/21	Level 2	100	2018/19	Positive	12.5	2020/21	Level 2
	% SMI BP record	61.7	2020/21	Level 1	44.2	2020/21	Level 2	32.5	2020/21	Level 2	50	2020/21	Level 1
	Dementia Face to Face review	87.4	2020/21	No Trigger	12.3	2020/21	Level 1	11	2020/21	Level 1	75	2020/21	No Trigge
	Select antidepressants ADQs/STARPU	1.446	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	1.79	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	1.762	2021/22 Q4	No Trigger	1.237	2021/22 Q4	No Trigge
atient Experience	Confidence and trust in healthcare professional	96.9	2020/21	No Trigger	97.7	2020/21	No Trigger	96	2020/21	No Trigger	96.4	2020/21	No Trigge
	Frequency seeing preferred GP	48.7	2020/21	No Trigger	31.6	2020/21	No Trigger	46.7	2020/21	No Trigger	48.9	2019/20	No Trigge
	Healthcare professional treating with care and concern	92.4	2020/21	No Trigger	93.9	2020/21	No Trigger	89.4	2020/21	No Trigger	86.6	2020/21	No Trigge
	Overall experience of your GP practice	87.4	2020/21	No Trigger	84	2020/21	No Trigger	83	2020/21	No Trigger	74.9	2020/21	No Trigge
	Satisfaction with appointment times	74.3	2020/21	No Trigger	64.4	2020/21	No Trigger	61.5	2020/21	No Trigger	71	2020/21	No Trigge
ublic Health	% Child Imms DTaP/IPV/Hib/HepB (age 1 year)	95.6	2020/21	No Trigger	97.6	2020/21	No Trigger	96.4	2020/21	No Trigger	85.7	2020/21	Level 1
	% Child Imms Hib/MenC booster	97.3	2020/21	No Trigger	97.6	2020/21	No Trigger	98.8	2020/21	No Trigger	85.3	2020/21	Level 1
	% Child Imms MMR (Age 2 yrs)	97.3	2020/21	No Trigger	97.6	2020/21	No Trigger	98.8	2020/21	No Trigger	85.3	2020/21	Level 1
	% Child Imms PCV Booster	97.3	2020/21	No Trigger	97.6	2020/21	No Trigger	98.8	2020/21	No Trigger	85.3	2020/21	Level 1
	Cervical Screening	78.8	2021/22 Q4	Level 1	77.1	2021/22 Q4	Level 1	79.9	2021/22 Q4	Level 1	77.7	2021/22 Q4	Level 1
espiratory	% Asthma review in last 6 mths	25.1	2020/21	Level 1	24.2	2020/21	Level 1	54.9	2020/21	Level 1	83.5	2020/21	No Trigge
	% Asthma spirometry and one other objective test	16.7	2020/21	Level 1	0	2020/21	Level 2	11.8	2020/21	Level 2	0	2020/21	No Data
	% COPD with review in last 12 mths	30.5	2020/21	Level 1	38.1	2020/21	Level 1	91.9	2020/21	No Trigger	91.7	2020/21	No Trigge
	% LTC patients who smoke	11.1	2020/21	No Trigger	11.9	2020/21	No Trigger	9	2020/21	No Trigger	10.6	2020/21	No Trigge
	% LTC Smoker offer support	97.3	2020/21	No Trigger	35.5	2020/21	Level 1	38.8	2020/21	Level 1	88.7	2020/21	Level 1
	% Smoking patients over 15 recorded	76.3	2021/22	No Trigger	71.3	2021/22	No Trigger	70.9	2021/22	No Trigger	71.1	2020/21	No Trigge
	% Smoking status recorded	95.4	2020/21	No Trigger	84.5	2020/21	Level 1	86.5	2020/21	Level 1	88.4	2020/21	Level 1
	% w. MRC dyspnoea score >=3 w. offer of referral to pulm. rehab. Clinic	72	2020/21	Level 1	28.6	2020/21	Level 1	94.4	2020/21	No Trigger	28.6	2020/21	Level 1

Primary Care clinical domain indicators as provided by NHS England as highlighted here with Trigger Levels against each, and represents a consolidation of data sets, designed to reduce the burden on GPs following these outlier identification. This indicator set is to support quality assurance and improvement of GP services; here, the higher the Level indicated, the more indicative of risk that has been found for that indicator.

The Practices have opportunities for every Level 1 indicated metric; however, any Level 2 indicator must be reviewed; guidance on this data set can be found in the below link, and for more up-to-date data, please log into your Ardens Manager (https://app.ardensmanager.com/).

Source: NHSE&I - https://www.primarycareindicators.nhs.uk/

https://www.primarycareindicators.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/GPI-Tech-Guidance-v5.pdf

Public Health - PCN Wider Determinants





Wider Determinants



In Hitchin And Whitwell PCN an estimated:

- 7.9% of children live in poverty.
- 9.4% of older people live in poverty.
- 11.5% of households live in fuel poverty.
- 6.1% of households are overcrowded.
- 31.4% of people aged 65 and over live alone.
- 0.8% of people cannot speak English well.
- 3% of working age people are claiming out of work benefits.
- 16.1% of children aged 4-5 and 24.7% of children aged 10-11 are overweight.

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk





The above provides a summary of the wider determinants of health for Hitchin & Whitwell.

Wider determinants analysis from Public Health Evidence and Intelligence shows Hitchin & Whitwell is one of the least deprived PCNs within the ICB across all indicators apart from Environment and to a lesser degree Fuel Poverty.

Spread of Patients




Correct as of July 2022

Source: NHS Digital (2022)

SPREAD OF PATIENTS ACROSS ENGLAND CONT.

Percent of East and North Hertfordshire patients within Hertfordshire and West Essex boundary



This chart shows the proportion of the registered population living within the ICB geographical boundary.

The spread of patients for Hitchin & Whitwell PCN indicates 30.61% of the population are not located within the Hertfordshire & West Essex boundaries; this means that this population may be accessing services outside of the ICB and the impact of coterminous alignment with neighbouring ICBs must be taken into account for this population by the PCN.


Source: Essex County Council PHM Team, NHS Digital (2022)

Public Health - Population Projections





Projection Pyramids



Population pyramids and table shown for North Hertfordshire district District shown is based on the largest majority of the PCN's registered population

Age Band	2022 Projection	2032 Projection	2042 Projection
Under 5	7,443	7,021	7,438
Under 24	36,684	35,484	34.177
24-64	71,440	69,641	70,225
65+	26,759	32,140	35,602
85+	4,105	5,502	6,686

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk





Expected population growth for North Hertfordshire district by the Local Authority, forecasts continued increase between 2023 through to 2034 which will bring additional demands for healthcare. Projections show an expected increase in the number of people over 65 from ~27k to ~32k.


Source: Public Health Team

Public Health - Projections on Conditions





People aged 65+ projected to...



PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk





The above shows the impact on health due to the expected increase in the number of people over 65.

Source: Public Health Team

Segmentation within the ICB



Optum

HWE

Segment & Outcomes Framework Documentation

© 2022 Optum. Inc. All rights reserved. Confidential property of Optum. Do not distribute or regroduce without express.



PHM Segment Model - Overview




The ICB has worked in partnership with Optum to develop a Health Segmentation model using linked data covering 1.2m of our ICS population. As part of the process representation from different care settings and internal ICB teams were engaged.

Our core PHM and Population Segmentation model combines primary and acute care data with wider determinants and community, mental health and social care data where available. The model has been built with a view to include additional data sets as they become available. The segmentation model provides the foundations for advanced population health management analytics that goes beyond patient level risk stratification.

The below demonstrates the 5 high level segments and the sub segments beneath within the model.

Segmentation model – third iteration



awaiting finalisation of methodology

Optum

© 2022 Optum, Inc. All rights reserved.

2

PHM Segment Model - Overview



The logic behind the ICB Health Segmentation model has been developed to allow the ICB to consider its whole population and the different interventions required to improve the outcomes of different groups of people with similar characteristics.

Alongside the segmentation logic an outcomes framework was developed.

Whole Population Outcomes

- INCREASE life expectancy / INCREASE average age at death in adults.
- REDUCE gap in age at death between most and least deprived deciles.
- INCREASE disease-free life expectancy
- · REDUCE rates of suicide
- REDUCE proportion of population who are digitally excluded either by lack of equipment, connectivity, skills, cost, or coeffide to be able to access clinical services.

"Generally healthy"

Who is in this group?

- Children and adults in the general population who are not otherwise captured in other segments.
- Most likely receive episodic care due to accidents and injuries or linked to maternity and CYP routine services.
- No diagnosed conditions.

Social & Clinical Outcomes

- INCREASE screening
- IMPROVE experience of Maternity services.
- REDUCE rates of childhood obesity in reception and year 6.
- REDUCE rate of infant mortality
- REDUCTION in proportion of people diagnosed with low mood and/or depression.

Living with Illness

Who is in this group?

- Includes people with single illnesses (including MH), that are currently controlled or able to self-manage and will receive most of their care in a planned way through primary care.
- Includes people with social or behavioural risk factors for more advanced disease.

Social & Clinical Outcomes

- INCREASE proportion of patients who feel able to self-manage their condition.
- REDUCE prevalence of behavioural risk factors for more advanced diseases, including: obesity, smoking status and drug abuse.
- REDUCE episodes of ill -health requiring emergency admissions for long term condition.
- INCREASE percentage of people with mental health problems in employment.
- INCREASE proportion of people who are able to maintain life routines considered important to the individual, e.g work, ..
 REDUCE emergency attendances due to alcohol -related harm.

Lower Complexity

Who is in this group?

 Includes people with moderate levels of morbidity and complexity. This is either as a result of: Multi-morbidity (24 long term conditions), Mild frailty and/or Social complexity.

Social & Clinical Outcomes

- INCREASE proportion of patients who feel able to self-manage their condition.
- REDUCE rate of emergency admissions for people with lower complexity.
- INCREASE proportion of patients offered personalised care and support planning.
- REDUCE prevalence of behavioural risk factors for more advanced diseases, including: obesity, smoking status and drug abuse.

Advanced Disease & Complexity

Who is in this group?

 Advanced disease and complexity represents a cohort of people with one or more significant illnesses that impact on their day to do functioning as well as people with significant risk from social complexity

Social & Clinical Outcomes

- INCREASE five year survival from cancer.
- REDUCE rate of emergency admissions in people with advanced disease or complexity.
- REDUCE the difference in average age of death between people with learning disability/SMI compared t general population.
- REDUCE proportion of whole population who are living with advanced disease and/or complexity.

End of Life, Frailty & Dementia

Who is in this group?

End of Life, frailty and dementia is the first segment in the logic and is the first set of criteria on which people are assessed. The segment includes: people who are identified as being in their last year of life, or on the palliative disease register as well as people with incurable cancer. This segment also includes those with severe frailty and/or severe dementia.


Social & Clinical Outcomes

- REDUCE dependency for emergency care services e.g A&E attendances and emergency admissions.
- INCREASE proportion of people who die in their preferred place of death.
- INCREASE identification of frail and complex patients, including those with dementia or at end of life.
- REDUCE proportion of days disrupted by emergency care in last year of life.
- INCREASE number of days spent at home in last year of life.
- INCREASE proportion of people supported at home instead of in residential care.

Source: Optum & HWE PHM Team - 14th Oct 2022

Age Profile and Health Segment






Each registered person is assigned to a segment and this can be cut by age group and also geographically by Place or PCN.

The above looks at the breakdown by age of people within each segment. It provides the PCN value against the Place and ICB value.

Demographic Breakdowns - Segment & Deprivation Quintiles






The ICB Health Segments here are broken down by total population and 5 year age groups; as expected with age the proportion of people within the healthy segment decreases.

The illustration on the left represents the PCN breakdown whilst the one on the rights shows the ICB breakdown.

Overall Hitchin & Whitwell has a slightly higher profile across all age categories for segments 2 to 5, compared to the ICB population.

The illustration bottom right shows the Sub-Segments by Deprivation Quintile, here we can see the levels of deprivation illustrated within the earlier wider determinants slide demonstrated within this breakdown.



Source: HWE PHM Team, Combined population data re-extract via Optum

Major Conditions Comparison - Per 1,000 Registered



	/		/ /	///	/ /	///	/ /////////////////////////////////////	sejiji.			s sease		/		See See	//	/ /	// ///	Soull
PCN NAME	QQ O	Tissago	Astima	Diabetes	Dementia	Come	to aning Disabili	Hypertension	St. Ob.	Chonic Kighey,	Heart Disease	Hoart Fallure	AfrialFib	Chronic Graise	Osoression	MIN.	April	Sorious Mental	Altheimers
ABBEY HEALTH	16.94	91.79	134.57	138.01	16.06	35.32	15.82	119.23	33.72	10.05	35.88	10.65	11.77	57.71	121.19	171.41	86.11	25.15	10.73
ALBAN HEALTHCARE	16.78	79.37	154.97	90.13	16.99	53.76	14.22	149.53	34.73	24.16	39.98	10.97	17.65	50.69	127.50	205.23	111.71	23.36	13.08
ALLIANCE	19.90	114.36	123.67	105.84	15.86	29.28	17.82	155.51	31.61	9.04	39.00	10.29	9.50	62.66	114.55	160.93	87.83	25.81	10.21
ALPHA	16.29	121.01	139.73	97.63	14.00	55.48	10.91	161.78	34.67	18.36	42.29	11.02	21.77	53.43	153.71	233.41	120.24	17.12	9.22
ATTENBOROUGH	18.14	113.20	126.85	105.43	15.54	36.21	11.81	130.54	33.07	15.51	42.51	11.91	11.43	50.85	118.02	176.61	95.74	19.13	8.70
BROXBOURNE ALLIANCE	20.30	160.08	122.01	81.19	7.50	61.16	6.46	171.90	29.87	23.09	49.05	11.84	19.50	68.57	135.43	191.17	116.76	7.91	4.09
CENTRAL WATFORD	17.17	97.89	108.55	152.70	20.12	25.21	13.25	123.19	30.90	8.01	34.88	10.09	16.32	46.23	98.31	132.67	62.41	20.54	8.28
DACORUM BETA	24.98	156.71	132.83	158.17	30.23	40.07	18.80	156.86	44.28	19.23	50.10	16.42	26.50	78.15	176.09	245.43	132.71	31.47	14.12
DANAIS	22.41	131.50	138.52	116.70	19.56	36.00	19.66	181.38	45.29	7.84	44.34	12.86	11.35	57.63	137.60	185.74	82.50	28.26	11.88
DELTA	16.90	150.87	135.59	125.22	17.35	45.07	13.06	152.28	34.37	21.60	42.61	10.85	19.87	55.43	142.21	222.46	128.07	22.98	10.97
HALO	18.22	93.22	137.55	112.78	20.85	47.83	20.12	137.28	37.23	16.03	41.35	11.68	16.94	85.83	149.64	217.88	111.29	29.96	14.75
HARLOW NORTH	32.17	113.01	160.55	104.04	9.63	65.83	5.80	185.36	41.56	27.03	59.02	17.64	18.36	73.07	152.95	249.04	129.75	8.61	4.78
HARLOW SOUTH	30.09	197.29	169.79	120.15	9.20	57.49	8.17	162.96	38.98	37.02	62.04	19.34	19.54	83.34	174.03	246.72	118.60	11.48	4.96
HARPENDEN HEALTH	21.78	81.60	149.03	107.08	23.86	69.52	12.79	172.72	49.21	21.85	55.34	17.04	25.35	73.71	145.13	230.18	127.83	21.83	14.49
HATFIELD	16.88	58.23	77.11	65.91	7.71	28.28	6.46	107.06	21.36	5.41	34.69	8.47	14.84	42.95	91.34	131.15	87.53	7.83	2.94
HERTFORD AND RURALS	16.86	116.98	126.99	67.48	7.52	54.51	5.99	147.29	25.97	13.35	42.51	10.83	20.25	52.05	138.84	203.98	117.47	7.46	3.97
HERTS FIVE	18.05	119.79	133.62	149.84	32.47	49.57	15.73	175.39	37.47	28.64	46.04	11.72	28.70	67.86	143.18	211.93	115.95	24.53	12.18
HITCHIN AND WHITWELL	21.11	126.63	141.53	80.38	10.25	64.91	5.36	160.97	32.99	22.56	48.88	11.81	23.23	63.10	146.25	217.69	134.47	9.83	6.42
HODDESDON & BROXBOURNE	22.63	163.45	129.18	88.31	9.82	69.33	6.52	182.13	32.80	23.48	54.65	14.88	26.00	65.08	128.92	211.87	124.10	7.19	5.53
ICKNIELD	20.58	132.39	147.83	85.32	11.91	60.97	6.57	164.18	31.52	35.00	51.59	12.61	22.87	68.86	140.93	220.11	121.08	8.97	7.19
LEA VALLEY HEALTH	23.93	166.87	126.46	86.47	6.11	51.75	9.26	172.10	28.22	18.66	48.18	13.17	18.01	57.90	154.32	231.01	165.70	10.89	5.53
LOUGHTON BUCKHURST HILL & CHIGWELL	15.51	82.36	108.00	75.16	9.75	48.63	3.25	126.39	27.64	12.08	48.45	12.73	24.57	58.16	115.48	166.39	109.95	7.20	4.74
MVPS	20.48	132.18	129.05	118.23	17.10	37.36	15.43	141.83	33.00	29.68	40.10	11.91	12.32	50.34	135.02	189.04	100.76	22.35	10.35
NORTH UTTLESFORD	15.67	23.10	103.62	50.30	8.89	35.46	3.02	82.42	26.01	0.19	40.66	8.29	27.47	50.49	94.73	129.90	109.54	4.31	4.09
NORTH WATFORD	21.96	115.98	140.15	136.45	18.26	39.04	14.64	168.63	39.54	20.47	47.73	15.48	20.13	64.24	142.44	194.02	96.65	24.51	9.80
POTTERS BAR	22.98	140.98	142.22	136.58	24.74	53.46	12.70	148.52	37.34	84.75	44.43	12.35	20.80	65.37	132.36	187.18	88.62	20.73	11.56
RICKMANSWORTH & CHORLEYWOOD	17.43	111.90	132.75	112.05	18.36	44.50	13.90	188.27	41.26	6.88	45.98	15.23	20.02	59.08	127.24	185.97	93.22	19.48	8.82
SOUTH UTTLESFORD	15.28	43.19	113.74	57.46	8.33	38.05	2.34	96.24	24.00	4.96	39.00	9.02	20.59	48.74	99.33	142.19	108.67	4.65	3.60
STEVENAGE NORTH	24.27	273.76	124.18	170.45	10.46	46.58	8.92	155.20	29.48	9.51	46.26	11.84	14.55	64.91	136.82	194.88	111.38	8.02	6.11
STEVENAGE SOUTH	23.31	128.57	101.25	75.88	9.99	44.87	6.69	144.52	30.88	15.08	46.63	12.90	13.69	62.56	105.37	151.85	76.49	7.45	6.03
STORT VALLEY & VILLAGES	17.85	122.87	132.49	65.60	7.18	53.86	6.92	144.16	26.39	19.45	44.05	13.41	19.82	60.97	120.51	203.15	127.69	6.34	3.43
THE GRAND UNION	17.43	143.73	135.30	134.24	19.14	42.21	12.89	149.94	36.78	28.86	46.99	12.45	19.10	62.75	138.79	195.84	95.98	20.23	9.53
WARE AND RURALS	18.09	163.30	165.40	77.77	7.63	58.28	5.79	154.35	27.24	22.49	47.89	12.74	20.82	60.01	132.46	198.85	108.67	6.62	4.13
WELWYN GARDEN CITY A	19.05	104.74	104.65	68.93	6.62	41.07	6.99	132.35	23.08	10.49	38.53	10.24	17.72	48.93	117.64	178.45	109.12	7.12	3.14

The data from the ICB model has been collated and the above provides a rate per 1,000 population with a recording of each condition. The darker the blue the higher the presence of the condition within the PCN's population.

Further information and tools that monitor identification and management of people with conditions are available in Ardens Manager rolled out to support the ICB's ECF. Searches available via Ardens can support with case finding and identifying people with management indicators that are due.

When analysing the underlying Segmentation Model data the conditions with highest per 1,000 registered prevalence that are highlighted for Hitchin & Whitwell PCN are Depression, MH, Anxiety, COPD, Asthma, Cancer, Hypertension, Obesity, Heart Disease, AF and Chronic Cardiac disease.

Source: HWE PHM Team, Combined population data re-extract via Optum

Continued




			Chonic Resolu	/3°8°		oseos, de	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	/ /	/ /	/ /	/	/ /	Other Neutropelie	Jio J		,s	/	/	
			/,	, o /	Huntingrons p.	(Kioney Tansols	Metastatic Can.	Muliple Science	Muscular Opsing		\$* /		Partinsons Diso.	Rheumatoid Ary		Sichle Gell Die	, s	tuelosue.
PCN NAME		Cerebral palsy		Chstic Fibrosis	, /suc			ِ ئي.	25,	10,000	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Osteoporosis	400		00	\w	Ź	, / 1	•
		/6,4/	nic 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		The state of the s	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	/sz zoz) Joj.	/m/	Mysthenia G	000		liso,	To To	tupus (SLE)	\@\`	Solio Open 7	8
	18	/ گئی/	/z ^{io} ,	\&**		/ Silver	/ j o	/ z e* /		Z S /	1 20 /	\S	\z \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		/ Q		/Šį	Kiji /
ABBEY HEALTH	14.98	1.20	20.95	0.40	0.04	9.37	2.04	2.48	1.56	0.52	0.28	14.46	1.20	2.08	7.53	0.92	0.44	1.48	25.51
ALBAN HEALTHCARE	13.61	1.20	22.10	0.77	0.23	11.13	2.30	3.02	1.73	0.30	0.33	24.28	1.10	2.77	8.39	1.43	0.28	1.34	31.80
ALLIANCE	17.30	1.66	25.28	1.73	0.04	10.85	2.45	3.01	1.13	0.19	0.15	10.55	1.06	2.60	9.01	1.24	1.70	1.09	30.03
ALPHA	11.10	0.64	21.64	0.66	0.13	10.97	2.67	2.41	2.46	0.36	0.30	20.86	1.30	3.14	9.41	1.22	0.17	1.39	35.30
ATTENBOROUGH	11.11	0.96	22.70	1.41	0.13	10.43	2.57	2.70	1.80	0.42	0.29	17.75	1.12	1.99	7.64	1.25	0.55	1.44	42.89
BROXBOURNE ALLIANCE	8.71	1.08	27.27	0.55	0.02	13.59	2.21	3.15	2.09	0.32	0.34	24.44	1.56	1.95	9.86	1.43	0.78	1.45	87.88
CENTRAL WATFORD	10.69	1.11	20.87	0.87	0.15	7.62	2.32	2.65	1.20	0.27	0.21	11.29	1.30	2.44	7.53	1.17	0.54	1.14	29.37
DACORUM BETA	17.95	1.28	29.89	1.09	0.15	11.68	2.62	4.19	2.11	0.53	0.10	17.54	1.16	3.61	9.52	1.33	0.75	1.60	36.87
DANAIS	16.34	1.18	26.91	0.79	0.26	10.93	2.13	3.22	1.77	0.39	0.30	8.73	1.31	2.92	10.14	1.21	0.89	1.71	39.02
DELTA	13.39	1.02	21.36	0.96	0.27	11.99	2.31	3.60	2.28	0.42	0.24	18.70	0.96	2.97	8.99	1.14	0.51	1.20	37.04
HALO	20.38	1.52	23.45	0.73	0.18	11.68	2.31	3.56	1.90	0.23	0.20	20.47	1.40	3.88	8.70	1.34	0.41	0.99	31.92
HARLOW NORTH	7.53	1.38	42.10	1.26	0.06	13.93	3.47	3.41	2.51	0.30	0.36	29.48	2.45	2.39	13.51	1.49	0.60	2.21	69.06
HARLOW SOUTH	11.58	2.48	42.29	0.93	0.10	13.55	2.38	4.24	1.65	0.52	0.52	40.02	2.27	2.38	13.55	2.48	0.31	1.86	95.65
HARPENDEN HEALTH	12.54	1.06	28.25	0.66	0.12	10.84	2.47	5.89	2.22	0.39	0.48	29.04	1.89	4.68	9.54	1.18	0.39	1.41	28.50
HATFIELD	6.55	0.76	21.97	0.47	0.06	10.21	2.74	1.89	0.90	0.32	0.23	19.79	1.43	1.51	6.72	1.25	0.84	1.54	71.96
HERTFORD AND RURALS	8.19	0.81	24.68	0.69	0.12	15.00	2.38	2.24	2.26	0.44	0.30	27.37	1.37	2.38	7.72	0.89	0.10	1.53	90.91
HERTS FIVE	13.79	1.39	23.04	0.77	0.13	12.86	2.98	2.86	2.45	0.25	0.36	22.67	1.69	3.63	17.88	1.26	0.62	1.66	34.03
HITCHIN AND WHITWELL	9.93	1.49	30.11	0.50	0.00	15.61	2.87	3.41	2.41	0.32	0.32	34.83	1.42	2.52	8.34	1.63	0.14	1.60	92.26
HODDESDON & BROXBOURNE	9.14	0.96	31.53	0.58	0.27	14.77	2.84	3.29	2.38	0.43	0.23	33.55	1.73	2.33	9.37	1.35	0.22	1.73	96.87
ICKNIELD	10.67	1.08	29.47	0.62	0.08	16.17	2.69	2.91	3.58	0.43	0.32	27.18	1.29	2.67	8.51	1.27	0.05	2.02	82.47
LEA VALLEY HEALTH	10.05	1.27	31.63	0.59	0.10	12.74	2.37	2.80	1.53	0.36	0.46	22.43	1.89	1.72	10.47	1.63	1.11	1.98	97.79
LOUGHTON BUCKHURST HILL & CHIGWELL	4.13	0.84	22.95	0.79	0.14	14.26	2.42	3.62	1.77	0.46	0.33	38.42	1.90	2.04	8.87	1.53	0.19	1.35	65.68
MVPS	14.28	1.17	34.30	10.11	0.07	11.35	2.43	3.60	2.06	0.30	0.15	15.86	1.17	2.47	8.53	1.69	0.59	1.30	32.96
NORTH UTTLESFORD	2.34	0.78	21.65	0.73	0.05	11.09	1.18	2.48	1.13	0.48	0.11	23.32	1.16	1.27	10.04	1.29	0.03	1.72	26.93
NORTH WATFORD	12.70	1.07	27.72	2.17	0.11	12.20	2.44	3.36	2.40	0.42	0.31	16.47	1.53	2.63	9.42	1.45	0.34	0.92	37.13
POTTERS BAR	12.98	0.76	27.06	0.62	0.17	8.31	1.90	2.70	2.01	0.38	0.48	21.07	1.49	3.25	7.96	1.07	0.42	1.28	33.70
RICKMANSWORTH & CHORLEYWOOD	11.74	1.12	23.30	2.02	0.25	9.40	2.45	3.20	1.33	0.54	0.18	15.52	0.97	2.88	7.38	0.97	0.11	1.51	32.44
SOUTH UTTLESFORD	3.05	1.02	21.93	0.53	0.02	10.61	1.97	2.44	1.89	0.28	0.22	29.23	1.34	1.71	10.30	1.48	0.08	2.01	24.13
STEVENAGE NORTH	12.22	1.43	32.98	0.27	0.05	16.52	3.40	2.92	2.23	0.64	0.27	15.67	1.81	2.71	7.06	1.27	0.48	1.54	94.81
STEVENAGE SOUTH	10.81	1.57	31.16	0.88	0.06	13.08	2.69	4.03	2.09	0.27	0.33	14.90	1.57	2.09	5.81	1.12	0.39	1.73	75.58
STORT VALLEY & VILLAGES	10.22	1.05	25.19	0.51	0.00	12.73	1.89	3.26	1.95	0.41	0.36	41.69	1.69	2.08	9.13	1.63	0.13	1.39	76.18
THE GRAND UNION	13.30	1.32	22.90	1.36	0.18	11.75	2.22	3.19	2.19	0.25	0.25	26.69	1.27	2.29	9.07	1.53	0.53	1.68	74.02
WARE AND RURALS	7.25	1.01	25.52	0.77	0.09	14.85	2.67	2.64	1.81	0.18	0.27	26.11	1.63	2.58	7.58	1.22	0.03	1.75	86.12
WELWYN GARDEN CITY A	9.18	0.77	25.87	0.71	0.05	13.17	2.65	2.13	1.92	0.46	0.20	20.42	1.30	1.37	7.02	1.11	0.38	1.55	89.89

On this page of conditions, we can see that the PCN has higher prevalence of inflammatory Bowel Disease, Osteoporosis and High BP.

PCN Benchmarking - A&E Activity






The charts in the next two pages show activity for the PCN compared against all other PCNs at the Place level.

The Model - A statistical regression model is used to decide which Risk Factors correlate with a significant impact on the selected targets as presented in these charts, the height of the bar for each PCN relates to the correlation of a Risk Factor and an increase in impact on the selected target condition.

The impact for the PCN is given and will vary for each characteristic; a wide variance may indicate differences in care or good practice which could be shared; some impacts are positive. Higher bars indicate a greater relationship with increased A&E attendances

PCN Benchmarking - Emergency Admissions





This uses the same principles as the previous slide but looks at Emergency Admission.

Learning Disability admissions shows the most variance across all PCNs, meaning that characteristic has a high impact on emergency admissions.

Urgent and Emergency Care



As part of the ICB Urgent and Emergency Care Programme a needs analysis was undertaken.


Overall aim

* To understand what and where the need is for access to urgent and emergency care in Hertfordshire and West Essex

Objectives

- * To build a comprehensive picture of who needs to access UEC in HWE and who could be better cared for in alternative settings.
- * To understand the root causes of why people are accessing UEC when there could have been more appropriate alternative pathways
- * To build consensus among stakeholders around what the key issues in UEC are
- * To draw conclusions based on public health intelligence and triangulation of data to inform a successful and achievable UEC strategy

Some of the initial outputs from this work have been included within the next few pages, providing the PCN benchmarking.



UEC Overview



Hertfordshire & West Essex ICB – East & North A&E Summary – Who are attending and why?


Children 0 -18 Adults 19 -64 Older People 65+

218,296 A&E Attendances in 2021/22

Children = 56,287 (25.8%) Adults = 111,219 (50.9%) Older People = 50,790 (23.3%)

57,811 26.5% of attendances resulted in no investigation and no treatment (includes Uncoded Activity)

> Children = 19,082 (34%) Adults = 30,658 (27.6%) Older People = 6,944 (15.9%)



128,296 people attended A&E in 2021/22

Children = 34,197 (26.5%) Adults = 68,101 (52.8%) Older People = 26,873 (20.8%)

This translates to 1 in 5 people registered with East & North attending A&E

Children = 1 in 4 children Adults = 1 in 5 adults Older People = 1 in 4 older people







Source: SUS


2

UEC









Rates of A&E attendances across the ICB have returned to pre covid levels and above.

The impact of covid can clearly be seen in the top left chart.

Urgent & Emergency Care in 2022/23 for Hitchin & Whitwell A&E Attendance rates per 1,000 population, is below the place rate.

Source: HWE PHM Team modelled data, phm.optum.co.uk - Calendar Year 2021

Unplanned Hospitalisation for Chronic Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions



This analysis looks at Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions
NHS Outcomes Framework Methodology.

Between April 2021 and September 2022 there have been 11,953 people admitted 15,563 times for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions across the ICB.

Costed at tariff the value was approximately £42 million.

The table here shows the breakdown for Hitchin & Whitwell PCN.

Chronic ACS admissions April 2021 - September 2022 Herts & West Essex ICB


Chronic ACS	Admissions	People	Average cost of admission	Tariff Total Payment National
CVD: AF and Flutter	84	68	£2,200	£184,817
CVD: Angina	11	11	£1,898	£20,874
CVD: Congestive Heart Failure	142	118	£4,420	£627,633
CVD: Hypertension	22	19	£1,120	£24,630
Diseases of the blood	21	21	£2,314	£48,592
Mental and Behavioural Disorders	6	6		
Neurological Disorders	60	38	£1,929	£115,716
Nutritional, endocrine and metabolic	65	49	£3,206	£208,407
Respiratory: Asthma	27	24	£1,675	£45,227
Respiratory: COPD	124	91	£2,765	£342,898
Grand Total	562	428	£2,880	£1,618,794

^{*} Average cost for Mental and Behavioural is not representative as non-PbR


ACS Admission Rates per 1,000 Population by Place



Chronic Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions by Place Rate per 1,000 Population (Total Population)



Chronic Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions by Place Rate per 1,000 Population (Total Population)



When comparing the Ambulatory Care Sensitive rates per 1,000 population between places, the East & North place has the lowest rate in the ICB.

Within East & North place, Hitchin & Whitwell has a slightly lower rate per 1,000 population, than the average.

The following slides look at how this is broken down by the different ACSs and how the patients fall within the different segments.

Chronic ACS by Segment Hertfordshire and West Essex Integrated Care Board ACS by segment_age Segment IPRO Tariff Total Pa... 2 - Living With Illness 3 - Lower Complexity 4 - Advanced Disease & Co.. 5 - EoL, Frailty & Dementia 1 - Healthy Age gr.. 836 602K Child 19-40 41-64

The above chart looks at the ACS admissions by age and segment. The size reflects volume and the depth of colour reflects cost.

When looking at the ACS conditions for Hitchin & Whitwell the highest volume and cost is within the End of Life, Frailty and Dementia in the over 65 age group and the next highest volume and cost is for the over 65 and 41-64 age group in the Advanced Disease and Complexity segment as well.


The following pages look at which ACSs contribute to this.

65+

UEC by Advanced Disease & Complexity, and EOL, Frailty & Dementia









Ambulatory Care Sensitive conditions of note for people aged over 65 within the Advanced Disease and Complexity, is highlighted as Heart Failure, COPD, AF and Flutter, with the highest volume and cost.

For those people aged over 65 within the End of Life, Frailty & Dementia segment, Heart Failure, COPD and AF and Flutter, is highlighted with the highest volume and cost.


Segment 5





UEC Diagnoses by Segment





Here we have identified the top 25 primary diagnoses for the PCN's population, for all UEC admissions - and then these diagnoses split these by the health segments that each patient falls into to see where outliers are for the PCN.

UEC & Segmentation + Deprivation by Ward



UEC Patients Seen by Segment & Ward	1 - Healthy	2 - Living With Illness	3 - Lower Complexity	4 - Advanced Disease & Complexity	5 - EoL, Frailty & Dementia	Grand Total
Arbury			1		6	
Ashley	1					1
Baldock Town				1		1
Bedwell		1		1		2
Bishop's Stortford All Saints				3		3
Bishop's Stortford Silverleys	1					1
Borehamwood Hillside	1					1
Buntingford					4	
Cadwell	7	9			26	
Chesfield	2	1	2		5	
Cheshunt South and Theobalds				1		1
Codicote	4	2	2	2	3	
Ermine				4		4
Harpenden North			1	1		2
Hertford Castle		1				1
Hertford Sele			1			1
Hitchin Bearton	40	20		61	90	
Hitchin Highbury	36	11	48	63	90	248
Hitchin Oughton	25	12			80	188
Hitchin Priory	25	15		54	141	257
Hitchin Walsworth	27	21	38	94	96	276
Hitchwood, Offa and Hoo	25	27	25	65	75	
Hunsdon				1		1
Kimpton	4			1		5
Knebworth					2	
Letchworth East			1	1		2
Letchworth Grange		1				1
Letchworth South East		1				4
Letchworth South West	3		1			6
Letchworth Wilbury			1		4	
Leverstock Green			2			2
Longmeadow			1			1
Manor		1	2		1	4
Martins Wood			3			3
Peartree			1			1
Royston Meridian				1		1
Shephall					2	
Sherrards			1			1
Symonds Green	1	1			1	
Waltham Cross				1		1
Welwyn East					1	
Welwyn West					1	1
Wheathampstead			2			2
Woodfield				9		9
Unknown Ward	21	15		23	21	-
Grand Total	223	139	253	469	649	1733

It is also useful to note under which Wards that the PCNs population are linked to, and
specifically here, where the admissions are highest.

The indication then for these patients split by health segments also adds a layer of importance when considering how the District Councils may help you investigate your population and where the wider determinants and health inequalities may be present.

						Inte	egrated Care E
UEC Patients Seen by Deprivation Quintile & Ward 1 = Most Deprived, 5 = Least Deprived	1	2	3	4		(blank)	Grand Total
Arbury					7		7
Ashley				1			1
Baldock Town	1						1
Bedwell	2						2
Bishop's Stortford All Saints				3			3
Bishop's Stortford Silverleys				J	1		1
Borehamwood Hillside		1					1
Buntingford				4			4
Cadwell			73	-			73
Chesfield		16	1				17
Cheshunt South and Theobalds		10	1				1
Codicote			8		5		13
Ermine		4	٥		Э		4
		4	2				2
Harpenden North			2	1			
Hertford Castle				1			1
Hertford Sele	1						1
Hitchin Bearton		59	118	38	47		262
Hitchin Highbury		63			185		248
Hitchin Oughton	61	67		60			188
Hitchin Priory				205	52		257
Hitchin Walsworth	72	50	40	51	63		276
Hitchwood, Offa and Hoo		44	64	109			217
Hunsdon			1				1
Kimpton				5			5
Knebworth				2			2
Letchworth East				2			2
Letchworth Grange		1					1
Letchworth South East	1	1		1	1		4
Letchworth South West	1			2	3		6
Letchworth Wilbury	1			5			6
Leverstock Green			2				2
Longmeadow		1					1
Manor				2	2		4
Martins Wood	3						3
Peartree	1						1
Royston Meridian					1		1
Shephall		2					2
Sherrards					1		1
Symonds Green		3					3
Waltham Cross		1					1
Welwyn East				1			1
Welwyn West		1					1
Wheathampstead		2					2
Woodfield		9					9
Unknown Ward						94	94
Grand Total	144	325	310	492	368	94	1733
			- 323		- 300		2,33

It is also important to know that a Ward may be made up of different LSOAs, and therefore have different levels of deprivation as found in the Indices of Multiple Deprivation scoring given to us be the Government; in the graph quintile 1 is the Highest or Most deprived, and quintile 5 is the Least deprived.

Source: HWE PHM Team, Combined population data re-extract via Optum, NHS England

Public Health - Nationally Reported Admissions





Hospital Admissions

Period	HERTFORDSHIRE AND WEST ESSEX	HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN
2020/21	2026	1721.2
2020/21	130.8	140.3
2020/21	40.5	
2020/21	505.9	199.3
2020/21	177.2	127.6
2020/21	494.9	515.4
2020/21	611.6	348.6
	2020/21 2020/21 2020/21 2020/21 2020/21 2020/21	2020/21 2026 2020/21 130.8 2020/21 40.5 2020/21 505.9 2020/21 177.2 2020/21 494.9

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk





The above table produced by the Hertfordshire Public Health Evidence and Intelligence team shows the emergency admissions data within fingertips.

 $\label{lem:hitchin & Whitwell shows a significantly better \ rate of admissions to the ICB. \\$

Source: Public Health Team

Frailty Segment - Detailed PCN Breakdown



	Most depr	ived							Mo	st affluent			
Index of Multiple Deprivation Decile	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	NULL	PCN	ICS
Overall Population Measures													
Population	1	6	79	16	133	372	385	206	772	757	37	2764	37725
% of population in cohort	0.0%	0.2%	2.9%	0.6%	4.8%	13.5%	13.9%	7.5%	27.9%	27.4%	1.3%	100.0%	100.09
Avg. Age	68.0	87.7	73.8	70.9	69.8	73.9	73.6	78.0	78.7	76.8	72.0	76.1	75.6
% BAME Where recorded	0%	33%	16%	13%	12%	12%	13%	3%	9%	8%	8%	10%	8%
Avg. number of Acute and Chronic Condition	6.0	7.2	5.3	5.1	4.5	4.7	4.4	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	5.5
Activity Measure													
Emergency Admissions	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6
A&E Attendances	0.0	1.0	1.2	1.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7	0.9
GP Encounters	141.0	188.3	197.1	152.6	196.7	153.4	130.4	151.8	160.0	131.9	113.4	148.9	103.4
Admitted Bed Days	0.0	1.5	3.5	6.1	1.5	2.5	1.7	4.2	2.7	2.1	3.0	2.5	4.2
Physical Health													
Asthma	0.0%	50.0%	27.8%	18.8%	33.1%	19.1%	23.4%	20.9%	20.2%	20.7%	13.5%	21.5%	25.29
Cancer	0.0%	16.7%	21.5%	25.0%	20.3%	30.6%	25.5%	29.1%	33.3%	32.2%	21.6%	30.0%	32.89
Chronic Cardiac Disease	100.0%	66.7%	44.3%	43.8%	37.6%	37.4%	36.6%	50.5%	38.9%	38.0%	43.2%	39.3%	47.59
Chronic Respiratory Disease	100.0%	50.0%	27.8%	31.3%	22.6%	17.7%	19.5%	19.4%	17.2%	15.9%	21.6%	18.2%	22.29
CKD	0.0%	16.7%	19.0%	12.5%	14.3%	15.6%	19.0%	18.0%	19.6%	18.2%	8.1%	18.0%	20.79
Heart Disease	100.0%	50.0%	38.0%	31.3%	27.8%	30.1%	30.9%	41.7%	31.9%	32.0%	35.1%	32.3%	39.19
Hypertension	100.0%	50.0%	73.4%	56.3%	66.9%	69.9%	69.4%	78.6%	74.0%	70.5%	70.3%	71.6%	74.59
Diabetes	0.0%	33.3%	41.8%	37.5%	33.8%	32.0%	31.9%	26.7%	30.6%	27.2%	40.5%	30.4%	42.89
Obesity	0.0%	0.0%	34.2%	50.0%	39.1%	36.8%	31.7%	24.8%	26.8%	25.1%	35.1%	29.2%	32.89
Rheumatoid Arthritis	0.0%	16.7%	7.6%	6.3%	0.8%	3.8%	4.9%	4.9%	3.9%	5.2%	13.5%	4.6%	5.3%
Stroke	0.0%	66.7%	29.1%	25.0%	30.1%	28.0%	21.8%	28.6%	27.2%	25.8%	32.4%	26.6%	34.59
Mental Health													
Anxiety	0.0%	50.0%	35.4%	43.8%	30.1%	40.6%	26.5%	32.5%	31.6%	30.8%	27.0%	32.0%	29.09
Depression	0.0%	33.3%	39.2%	43.8%	32.3%	39.0%	29.1%	25.2%	26.7%	24.8%	18.9%	28.7%	33.69
Dementia	0.0%	50.0%	8.9%	18.8%	11.3%	10.2%	8.6%	20.9%	13.1%	7.9%	16.2%	11.2%	18.69
Serious Mental Illness	0.0%	16.7%	6.3%	0.0%	4.5%	4.3%	2.6%	4.4%	2.1%	2.2%	0.0%	2.9%	6.5%
Low Mood	0.0%	16.7%	25.3%	37.5%	18.8%	20.7%	18.7%	20.4%	16.8%	16.9%	13.5%	18.3%	18.59
Suicide	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	6.3%	2.3%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%
Mental Health Flag	0.0%	66.7%	50.6%	75.0%	40.6%	55.1%	44.7%	48.5%	46.2%	44.4%	43.2%	46.9%	48.89
Screening and Verification Refusal								-					
Bowel Screening Refused	100.0%	16.7%	32.9%	25.0%	24.8%	23.4%	27.5%	23.3%	18.8%	21.1%	21.6%	22.4%	25.59
Cervical Screening Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	3.2%	4.2%	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%	2.7%	2.1%	3.6%
Flu Vaccine Refused	0.0%	16.7%	17.7%	25.0%	18.8%	26.3%	27.3%	21.8%	19.9%	18.9%	24.3%	21.6%	26.49
Wider Indicators													
Has A Carer	0.0%	50.0%	17.7%	12.5%	15.0%	13.2%	12.5%	17.0%	19.3%	9.8%	16.2%	14.5%	19.0
Is A Carer	0.0%	16.7%	15.2%	12.5%	9.8%	11.6%	8.1%	6.8%	21.6%	14.7%	16.2%	14.5%	11.99
MED3 Not Fit For Work (ever)	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	25.0%	15.8%	13.7%	10.4%	8.7%	8.8%	9.9%	16.2%	10.6%	13.4
MED3 Not Fit For Work (in Last Year)	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	7.5%	3.8%	3.1%	1.5%	2.5%	2.5%	10.8%	3.1%	3.5%
MED3 Not Fit For Work (in Last Six Months)	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	6.8%	2.7%	3.4%	1.5%	1.7%	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%	2.8%
Avg. number of eFI Deficits	17.0	15.0	14.7	14.3	14.6	14.6	14.1	14.1	14.7	14.5	14.7	14.5	13.4
eFI_Housebound	0.0%	16.7%	10.1%	12.5%	8.3%	6.7%	3.6%	5.3%	15.2%	4.9%	13.5%	8.4%	10.9
eFI_SocialVulnerability	100.0%	33.3%	20.3%	6.3%	21.8%	18.8%	16.1%	17.5%	16.7%	17.7%	27.0%	17.7%	27.3
People ChildrenInPoverty		22.7	17.8	18.5	10.1	12.4	11.5	12.3	13.7	8.3	İ	12.4	15.5
Housing FuelPoverty	18.0	18.2	18.4	13.4	15.6	14.2	14.7	10.5	10.2	7.6		11.2	11.1
· ·	32.5	33.6	39.5	32.5									28.3

14.8% of the general population in HWE ICB live within the 4 most deprived deciles, whilst 16.1% of the population in the EoL, severe frailty and severe dementia segment live within the 4 most deprived deciles.

In Hitchin & Whitwell 3.7% of the population in the EoL, severe frailty and severe dementia segment live within the 4 most deprived deciles.

The average age of a person within the Frail and End of life segment increases with affluence, ranging from 69 in the most deprived decile to 77 within the most affluent decile within the ICB.

As we would expect the average number of acute and chronic conditions is significantly higher within this segment that the general population as are all activity measures. The average number of Chronic Conditions for people within Hitchin & Whitwell PCN is slightly lower than the ICB, and the data shows significantly higher usage of GP services.

Within this segment we can see the presence of Chronic Cardiac Disease and Heart Disease being highlighted which chimes with the reason for admission within previous analysis for ACS conditions. It is to be noted that Hypertension is high across all deciles but slightly lower than the ICB. MH flag is also to be noted.

Applying Machine Learning factors without our data platform



Why Machine Learning?

With limited capacity available across the ICB available to review lists of patients it is important that the data available is used to its maximum to refine our process and target our resources where they will have the most impact.

The aim is to build the features identified from the machine learning in to system searches for EMIS and SystmOne.

Approach

- Trained several machine learning models on ~1 million linked patient records across ~200 features from the ICS segmentation dataset, for binary A&E risk prediction (will this patient use A&E within the next year?).
- Selected a final twin ensemble model with a binary classification accuracy of 81.3%.
- Extracted output probabilities for each class to estimate a risk score for each patient, and generated risk scores for all of the patients in the segmentation data. We can think of the risk score as the model's confidence in a patient requiring A&E.
- Partitioned the patient population into 3 distinct grades, Low, Medium and High risk, based on the machine learning predictions:

Risk grade	Range of predicted risk scores	Number of patients in grade	% of population in grade
High	0.8 to 1.0	22603	1.8
Medium	0.6 to 0.8	100446	8.1
Low	0.0 to 0.6	1115544	90.1
Low	0.0 to 0.6	1115544	90.1

Creating search logic from significant features

- Features input to the predictive model include demographic features, diagnosis codes, prescribed medication, waitlist information and environmental factors such as housing and proximity to healthcare.
- Used feature rankings learned by the model to reduce the set of ~200 features to the 30 most significant features that best explain the variance in the predicted class (requiring A&E or not).
- Trained secondary machine learning models, 5-split decision trees, to classify patients into each of the three risk grades based on these 30 significant features.
- Extracted decision tree logic to create search filters for patients by risk grade. 5 splits → 2^5 = 32 unique rules. These rules have been merged and prioritised (by considering individual accuracies and sample sizes) to maximise precision and recall in the final search filters.

Feature	Relative significance (%)
Age	15.03
Drug: Pain Management	10.22
Substance Abuse	4.19
Med3 Not Fit For Work	3.41
Stroke	3.03
eFI: Falls	2.23
Air Rank Quality	2.01
Waiting List Count All	1.83

Risk Grade: High	Age < 3 AND Drug: Salbutamol AND eFI: Dyspnoea
	Med3 Not Fit For Work (last six months) AND Substance Abuse AND ONE OF: Drug: Pain Management AND eFI: Peptic Ulcer Chronic Cardiac Disease
	 Drug: Pain Management AND eFI: Falls AND ONE OF:- Stroke AND eFI: Memory and Cognitive Problems Stroke AND Substance Abuse End Stage Disease
Risk Grade: Medium	Age < 3 AND ONE OF:- Drug: Salbutamol AND NO eFI: Dyspnoea On any waiting list Med3 Not Fit For Work (last six months) AND Substance Abuse AND NO Chronic Cardiac Disease Age < 45 AND Med3 Not Fit For Work (last six months) AND Drug: Pain Management Drug: Pain Management AND Substance Abuse AND ONE OF: Drug: Opioids eFI: Falls AND NO Stroke AND NO End Stage Disease
Risk Grade: Low	All others

Source: HWE PHM Team

Quality & Outcomes Framework




Contents:

- QOF Local, Regional, & National Comparison
- QOF Locality & PCN Comparison
- QOF Missed Diagnoses & Admission Rates
- Admission Rates Benchmarking against ICB/Place



QOF - Locality & PCN Comparison






The Quality and Outcome Framework incorporates important benchmarking and scoring for all Practices across the county; we have combined a number of local, regional, and national data sets to highlight the areas that the PCN will need to consider.


In this graph we have shown all your neighbouring PCNs within the Locality, and benchmarked against England's average.

Source: QOF National Figures, HWE PHM Team

QOF - Local, Regional, & National Comparison







The charts here are similar to the previous slide but provides the comparison between practices within the PCN.

Source: QOF National Figures, HWE PHM Team

QOF - Missed Diagnoses & Admission Rates



Disease	QOF List size 21-22	QOF Register 21- 22	QOF Prevalence 21/22	Place prevalence	ICB prevalence	Modelled prevalence	New diagnoses to meet Place average	new diagnoses to meet ICB average	New diagnoses to meet estimated prevalence
Asthma	59798	4119	6.89%	6.39%	6.17%		-299	-431	
COPD	63647	954	1.50%	1.54%	1.49%	2.15%	23	-8	412
Diabetes	51363	3031	5.90%	6.29%	6.39%	7.78%	199	250	963
Non-diabetic hyperglyaemia	50659	1922	3.79%	4.63%	5.87%	10.87%	424	1052	3586
Hypertension	63647	8595	13.50%	13.25%	13.21%		-159	-186	
Atrial Fibrillation	63647	1493	2.35%	2.01%	2.02%	2.80%	-211	-206	289
Stroke and TIA	63647	1220	1.92%	1.70%	1.61%		-138	-196	
Coronary Heart Disease	63647	1689	2.65%	2.62%	2.65%		-19	0	
Heart failure	63647	414	0.65%	0.71%	0.75%	1.46%	38	67	512
Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction	63647	127	0.20%	0.20%	0.30%		0	64	
Chronic Kidney Disease	50659	1297	2.56%	2.53%	3.21%		-15	328	
Peripheral Arterial Disease	63647	265	0.42%	0.46%	0.44%		26	17	
Cancer	63647	2257	3.55%	3.33%	3.35%		-138	-127	
Palliative care	63647	686	1.08%	0.50%	0.43%		-367	-413	


The table above shows the latest prevalence (2021/22 published August 20222) for the PCN alongside the place prevalence, ICB prevalence and the modelled prevalence for the PCN.


This table shows opportunities for further identification. It outlines the diagnoses to meet the place, ICB and estimated prevalence.


Within Ardens Manager there are case finding searches that can support PCN with identification.

Emergency Admission Rates per 1,000 population on the Disease Register

















The charts on the left shows the Emergency Admissions Rates per 1,000 population on the disease register.

It shows the places compared with the ICB on the left and on the right it show the PCNs within a Place.

These are continued on the following place.


Rates may be high due to a number of factors which may include low identification.


For Hitchin & Whitwell the data shows higher Heart Failure rates which was identified as a theme within the ACS analysis.

Source: HWE PHM Team, SUS data


Emergency Admission Rates per 1,000 population on the Disease Register











Source: HWE PHM Team, SUS data

Appendices



The following pages provide additional information breakdowns relating to the segmentation and population data

Contents:

- Matrix by Ethnicity
- Matrix by Health Segment & Subsegment
- Matrix by GP Activity
- Matrix by Health Segment & Deprivation
- Matrix by Practice & Deprivation
- **Bubble Matrix on Conditions**
- Bio-Psycho-Social Example
- Risk Factors by Prevalence against GP Activity & A&E
- Public Health Cancer Screening
- Public Health Mortality
- Public Health Life Expectancy
- Public Health Projection on Populations



Matrix Data - Ethnicity



Ethnicity Group •	Othe	er ethnic g	roups		Asian		Asian	or Asian	British		Black			Mixed			Other			White			Unknown		0
Complexity •	LOW	Middle iComplexi	High Complex	Low Complexi	Middle Complexi	High Complex	Low Complexi	Middle Complex	High iComplex	Low Complexi	Middle Complex	High iComplexi	Low Complex	Middle iComplexi	High Complex	Low Complex	Middle Complexi	High Complexi	Low Complexi	Middle Complex	High iComplex	Low Complex	Middle iComplexi	High Complex	Grand Total
Overall Population Measure	S																								
Population	474	181	7	768	660	91	123	33		333	318	34	445	256	20	339	267	37	15,162	14,716	2,174	4,778	1,839	27	43,084
Age	34	46	77	28	50	68	31	47	58	30	50	70	22	36	66	27	50	77	32	54	74	34	49	72	43
Male %	55.7%	47.0%	42.9%	46.1%	45.9%	42.9%	52.0%	54.5%	#####	48.6%	43.7%	47.1%	50.8%	42.6%	40.0%	48.4%	41.9%	43.2%	51.1%	45.4%	48.8%	55.4%	52.6%	40.7%	49.29
IMD	8.0	7.9	8.6	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.2	7.8	3.5	6.8	6.9	6.7	7.4	7.3	7.4	7.9	7.8	7.9	8.1	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.9	7.1	8.0
% BAME (where recorded)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%				12%
Multimorbidity (acute & chronic)	0.0	1.5	6.0	0.0	1.9	6.3	0.0	1.5	5.0	0.0	1.9	6.2	0.0	1.7	6.0	0.0	1.8	6.4	0.0	1.9	6.4	0.0	1.5	6.1	1.
Finance and Activity Measu	res																								
Spend ▼ Total	£0.1M	£0.1M	£0.0M	£0.2M	£0.6M	£0.4M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.1M	£0.2M	£0.1M	£0.1M	£0.2M	£0.1M	£0.1M	£0.3M	£0.1M	£4.2M	#####	£7.8M	£0.5M	£0.4M	£0.0M	####
PPPY - Total	£149	£290	£225	£257	£919	£3,939	£115	£511	£1,470	£262	£670	£3,482	£309	£783	£7,258	£435	£1,170	£2,365	£279	£838	£3,576	£95	£234	£1,179	£65
Acute Elective	£32	£105	£46	£78	£410	£1,396	£45	£88	£1,002	£86	£330	£1,044	£113	£334	£1,648	£155	£543	£599	£101	£400	£1,396	£21	£69	£347	£273
Acute Non-Elective	£59	£74	£0	£103	£321	£2,151	£16	£305	£0	£95	£163	£1,991	£122	£276	£5,162	£188	£440	£1,440	£95	£258	£1,775	£16	£48	£563	£24
GP Encounters	£58	£110	£180	£76	£188	£392	£53	£118	£469	£80	£176	£447	£74	£173	£448	£91	£187	£326	£83	£180	£405	£59	£118	£269	£130
Community	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Mental Health	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Social Care	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
GP PPPY	26	50	79	32	78	168	22	55	148	33	74	198	30	69	174	36	70	112	31	64	150	20	38	100	50
Beddays PPPY - Acute EM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	11	0	1	3	0	1	4	0	0	1	(
Physical Health																									
Diabetes ▼	0.0%	18.2%	42.9%	0.0%	30.6%	59.3%	0.0%	24.2%	#####	0.0%	26.4%	58.8%	0.0%	12.1%	30.0%	0.0%	13.5%	29.7%	0.0%	12.6%	40.2%	0.0%	9.6%	40.7%	7.9%
COPD ▼	0.0%	0.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.3%	16.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	2.6%	27.0%	0.0%	1.6%	25.8%	0.0%	1.2%	25.9%	2.0%
Chronic Respiratory Dis ▼	0.0%	0.6%	28.6%	0.0%	1.7%	27.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	35.3%	0.0%	2.0%	25.0%	0.0%	4.9%	43.2%	0.0%	3.0%	32.6%	0.0%	1.6%	33.3%	3.0%
Hypertension •	0.0%		#####	0.0%	30.9%	75.8%	0.0%	21.2%	#####	0.0%	36.8%		0.0%		70.0%	0.0%	25.5%		0.0%	31.7%		0.0%			17.5%
Obesity v		15.5%	28.6%	3.4%		23.1%	1.6%	9.1%		9.6%	22.3%			17.6%			17.2%		6.4%		34.3%	3.0%		37.0%	
Mental Health	1.070	10.070	20.070	0.170	10.170	20.170	1.070	0.170	0.070	0.070	22.070		0.070	11.070	20.070	,		21.070	0.170	20.070	0 1.070	0.070	10.070	01.070	12.07
Anxiety/Phobias ▼	0.0%	29.3%	42.9%	0.0%	23.2%	39.6%	0.0%	21.2%	0.0%	0.0%	20.8%	32.4%	0.0%	30.5%	45.0%	0.0%	27.0%	45.9%	0.0%	27.4%	44.8%	0.0%	27.1%	59.3%	14.0%
Depression ▼	0.0%		28.6%	0.0%		37.4%	0.0%	27.3%	0.0%		23.3%		0.0%		40.0%	0.0%		32.4%	0.0%			0.0%		55.6%	13.8%
Learning Disability ▼	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.7%	0.0%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.9%	7.4%	0.69
Dementia •	0.0%		28.6%	0.0%	0.5%	16.5%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%		14.7%	0.0%	0.4%	15.0%	0.0%	1.9%	16.2%	0.0%	1.2%		0.0%	0.8%	14.8%	_
Other Characteristics	0.076	0.076	20.070	0.076	0.576	10.570	0.076	3.0 /0	0.070	0.078	1.0 /0	14.7 /0	0.076	0.470	15.0 /0	0.076	1.570	10.270	0.070	1.2 /0	10.270	0.076	0.076	14.0 /0	1.17
Housebound (eFI)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	1.5%	2.7%	0.1%	0.8%	4.5%	0.2%	1.1%	11.1%	0.6%
Social Vulnerability (eFI)	_																								2.29
	0.0%		14.3%	0.4%	2.9%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.8%	20.6%	0.7%	2.0%	15.0%	0.0%	2.6%	10.8%	0.3%	3.1%	16.9%	0.1%	1.0%	11.1%	_
History of Smoking (Tw ▼	3.0%		0.0%	1.8%	6.2%	3.3%	4.9%	12.1%	0.0%	1.8%	11.0%	8.8%	4.0%		10.0%	2.4%	6.7%	2.7%	2.8%	6.2%	9.0%	1.9%	5.3%	3.7%	4.5%
Not Fit for Work (In Year) ▼	1.5%		0.0%	2.1%	9.4%	6.6%	0.8%	6.1%		5.4%	12.9%	8.8%	2.5%		15.0%	2.1%	9.4%	2.7%	1.9%	5.8%	3.3%	0.9%		11.1%	3.79
On a Waiting List	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

PHM is underpinned by good linked data, with an appropriate method of extracting segmented or patients under key metrics; this matrix is showing the complexity of ethnic groups is broadly categorised across the PCN.

Matrix Data - Segment & Sub-Segment



Life Course Segment	•	1 - Healthy		2-	Living With Illn	ess	3 -	- Lower Comple	exity	4 - Advano	ced Disease &	Complexity	5 - Eo	L, Frailty & De	mentia	10=000000
Life Course Subsegment	▼ 1a - H (Lor Risk)	1b - H (Maternity & Child)	1c - H (Acute Episodic)	2a - Lwl (At Risk)	2b - Lwl (LTCs)	2c - Lwl (MH)	3a - LC (Mod. Social Co	3b - LC (Multimorbidity	3c - LC (Mild Frailty)	4a - AD&C (High Complexity)	4b - AD&C - High Social Complexity	4e - AD&C (End Stage Disease)	5a - EoLFD (Severe Frailty)	5b - EoLFD (Severe Dementia)	5c - EoLFD (End of Life)	Grand Total
Overall Population Meas	ures												24			
Population	14,06	328	752	2,460	3,924	1,482	149	3,188	5,890	7,423	330	327	2,131	34	599	43,08
Age	1	9 15	20	47	47	40	54	52	43	52	58	69	76	86	76	4
Male %	57.2	% 25.3%	61.8%	54.1%	60.0%	51.5%	54.4%	50.9%	40.3%	36.2%	46.4%	55.4%	38.2%	26.5%	38.6%	49.29
IMD	8	.1 8.1	8.0	8.0	8.2	8.0	8.2	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.8	8.1	8.0	8.0	8.
% BAME (where recorded)	14	% 16%	12%	12%	11%	8%	8%	8%	13%	12%	10%	7%	10%	3%	7%	129
Multimorbidity (acute & chro	nic) 0	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	2.4	1.0	1.9	2.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.5	1.
Finance and Activity Me	asures	VII.		2.22			100	0	10.	Υ						
Spend → Total	£1.2	M £0.4M	£1.1M	£0.9M	£1.7M	£0.5M	£0.0M	£2.3M	£3.4M	£6.7M	£0.4M	£1.0M	£5.8M	£0.2M	£2.5M	£28.0N
PPPY - Total	al £8	7 £1,158	£1,429	£365	£427	£306	£325	£717	£579	£905	£1,150	£2,966	£2,721	£5,073	£4,241	£65
Acute Elective	£	23 £188	£593	£141	£197	£84	£147	£354	£256	£439	£441	£1,415	£1,094	£350	£1,367	£27
Acute Non-Elective	£	0 £805	£707	£116	£119	£116	£67	£205	£181	£273	£432	£1,236	£1,263	£4,237	£2,395	£24
GP Encounters	£	55 £164	£130	£108	£112	£106	£112	£158	£142	£193	£276	£315	£365	£486	£479	£13
Community	9	.0 £0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Mental Health	5	.0 £0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Social Care		.0 £0		100000	£0	£0	£0			£0	£0	£0		£0	1070	£
GP PPPY	- 2	0 62	44	39	38	37	42	54	53	72	95	112	140	162	181	5
Beddays PPPY - Acute EM		0 1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	8	5	(
Physical Health																
Diabetes	• 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%	3.4%	15.6%	8.3%	14.1%	18.2%	22.9%	31.7%	23.5%	26.0%	7.99
COPD	▼ 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.6%	2.5%	4.8%	60.2%	14.2%	2.9%	12.5%	2.09
Chronic Respiratory Dis	▼ 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	1.2%	4.0%	6.1%	68.8%	18.6%	11.8%	17.0%	3.0%
Hypertension	₹ 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.9%	0.0%	16.1%	32.0%	17.9%	29.3%	34.2%	56.6%	75.5%	70.6%	57.9%	17.5%
Obesity	₹ 0.0				12.7%	9.6%	11.4%		14.9%	21.6%		30.0%		8.8%		12.99
Mental Health																
Anxiety/Phobias	v 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.2%	6.0%	48.5%	15.8%	24.2%	29.7%	25.7%	33.9%	23.5%	25.7%	14.0%
Depression	• 0.0	% 0.0%	A 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5.0300	0.0%	42.8%	2.7%		15.7%	25.7%	17.9%	29.1%	29.7%	17.6%		13.89
	• 0.0		2012/10		0.0%	1.4%	0.0%			0.5%	27.9%	0.6%	1.1%	2.9%		0.69
Dementia Dementia	▼ 0.0				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			1.6%	6.1%	3.1%		100.0%	21.0%	1.19
Other Characteristics	0.0	70 0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.076	0.070	0.070	0.076	1.070	0.170	3.170	1.070	100.070	21.070	1.17
	▼ 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	1.5%	3.1%	20.6%	26.2%	0.69
Social Vulnerability (eFI)	• 0.0 • 0.0			(535.00)	0.0%	0.0%	37.6%	100000	100000	2.5%	18.2%	11.6%	17.5%	23.5%		2.29
History of Smoking (Tw																
	▼ 0.0				4.5%	4.6%	4.0%			7.1%	7.9%	21.1%		2.9%		4.5%
Not Fit for Work (In Year)	▼ 0.0	17	0.50500		2.6%	6.1%	1.3%	188.0.00	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7.2%	1.8%	7.6%	3.4%	0.0%		3.79
On a Waiting List	▼ 0.0	% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09

This matrix is important in defining the PCN's main segment and sub-segment health classifications, giving simple volumes but also linking how many as a percentage of each subsegment, have defined and diagnosed conditions.

Matrix Data - GP Activity



GP Activity ▼		0		1	1	2-	-3	4	-5		6-9			10+		
Complexity ▼	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	High Complexity	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	High Complexity	Low Complexity	Middle Complexity	High Complexity	Grand Total
Overall Population Measures	,															
Population	957	141	7	291	53	707	108	981	138	3,183	663		16,303	17,167	2,382	43,084
Age	32	39	73	28	33	21	32	20	34	25	35	63	35	54	74	43
Male %	64.9%	74.5%	57.1%	60.8%	75.5%	59.1%	72.2%	62.5%	71.0%	62.0%	68.8%	66.7%	48.0%	44.5%	48.2%	49.2%
IMD	7.9	7.9	7.4	7.6	7.9	8.0	7.7	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.0
% BAME (where recorded)	22%	13%	14%	32%	14%	19%	21%	14%	11%	14%	11%	0%	13%	10%	8%	12%
Multimorbidity (acute & chronic)	0.0	1.4	6.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	5.3	0.0	1.8	6.4	1.1
Finance and Activity Measu	res															
Spend - Total	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£0.1M	£0.0M	£0.0M	£5.1M	£14.1M	£8.5M	£28.0M
PPPY - Total	£15	£23	£2,647	£5	£10	£23	£17	£24	£49	£46	£56	£46	£316	£822	£3,569	£651
Acute Elective	£8	£20	£733	£1	£5	£10	£6	£4	£21	£11	£22	£0	£110	£386	£1,368	£273
Acute Non-Elective	£7	£3	£1,914	£1	£2	£4	£3	£5	£12	£9	£7	£0	£107	£253	£1,797	£242
GP Encounters	£0	£0	£0	£3	£4	£8	£8	£15	£16	£26	£27	£46	£99	£183	£404	£136
Community	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Mental Health	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Social Care	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
GP PPPY	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4	4	8	8	9	37	66	151	50
Beddays PPPY - Acute EM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0
Physical Health																
Diabetes •	0.0%	4.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	41.1%	7.9%
COPD ▼	0.0%	2.1%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	33.3%	0.0%	1.6%	25.1%	2.0%
Chronic Respiratory Dis ▼	0.0%	2.1%	28.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	1.5%	33.3%	0.0%	2.9%	32.5%	3.0%
Hypertension ▼	0.0%	7.1%	100.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	7.2%	66.7%	0.0%	32.1%	81.4%	17.5%
Obesity v	0.7%	2.1%	14.3%	2.4%	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	0.4%	4.3%	2.3%	5.4%	0.0%	6.9%	20.3%	33.8%	12.9%
Mental Health	0.170	2.170	14.575	2.470	0.070	0.070	1.070	0.470	4.070	2.070	0.470	0.070	0.070	20.070	00.070	12.070
Anxiety/Phobias ▼	0.0%	29.8%	28.6%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	18.8%	0.0%	24.0%	33.3%	0.0%	27.4%	44.6%	14.0%
Depression ▼	0.0%	31.9%	42.9%	0.0%	26.4%	0.0%	24.1%	0.0%	23.9%	0.0%	22.0%	66.7%	0.0%	27.5%	40.1%	13.8%
Learning Disability	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	2.1%	0.6%
Dementia •	0.0%	1.4%	14.3%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	10.7%	1.1%
Other Characteristics	0.076	1.470	14.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.076	0.076	U.Z 70	0.0%	0.0%	1.270	10.770	1.170
Housebound (eFI)	0.09/	0.0%	0.0%	0.00/	0.0%	0.0%	0.00/	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00/	0.1%	0.9%	4.5%	0.6%
Social Vulnerability (eFI)	0.0%			0.0%			0.0%					0.0%				
7 . 7	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	2.9%	16.8%	2.2%
History of Smoking (Tw ▼	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.7%	0.9%	1.7%	0.0%	3.3%	6.7%	8.6%	4.5%
Not Fit for Work (In Year) ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	6.4%	3.7%	3.7%
On a Waiting List	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Whilst the previous GP activity matrix was to investigate HIUs, this matrix has split out the GP activity by order of complexity; another method of looking at distinct patient volumes coming into the PCN which may be managed in a different way.

Matrix Data - Health Segment & Deprivation



Life Course Segment	•	1 - H	ealthy			2 - Living V	With Illness			3 - Lower	Complexity		4 - Adv	anced Dise	ase & Com	plexity	5 -	EoL, Frailt	y & Dement	tia	
Deprivation	▼ Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivatior	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivatior	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivatior	Not known	Grand Total
Overall Population Measu	es			2	81 8							- 17									
Population	9,237	4,964	492	454	4,893	2,512	238	223	5,558	3,072	331	266	4,721	2,869	291	199	1,735	906	86	37	43,084
Age	29	27	26	37	47	44	38	47	49	43	39	47	55	50	48	53	78	73	75	72	43
Male %	56.2%	57.3%	57.3%	59.7%	56.4%	56.6%	56.3%	59.6%	44.4%	44.4%	40.5%	41.4%	37.7%	36.5%	36.1%	45.2%	39.1%	36.9%	33.7%	37.8%	49.2%
IMD	9.4	6.2	2.8		9.3	6.2	2.8		9.4	6.2	2.8		9.4	6.1	2.9		9.3	6.2	2.9		8.
% BAME (where recorded)	12%	17%	25%	13%	9%	13%	21%	9%	9%	14%	23%	9%	10%	16%	17%	5%	8%	12%	17%	8%	129
Multimorbidity (acute & chronic	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	4.7	4.6	5.4	4.7	1.
Finance and Activity Mea	sures																				
Spend → Total	£1.6M	£0.9M	£0.1M	£0.1M	£1.8M	£1.0M	£0.1M	£0.1M	£3.5M	£1.9M	£0.2M	£0.2M	£4.7M	£2.9M	£0.3M	£0.2M	£5.5M	£2.5M	£0.4M	£0.1M	£28.0N
PPPY - Total	£175	£183	£184	£152	£370	£417	£413	£320	£637	£615	£474	£597	£1,003	£1,017	£878	£797	£3,157	£2,803	£4,175	£3,668	£65
Acute Elective	£54	£60	£38	£26	£161	£160	£97	£142	£301	£275	£218	£264	£500	£469	£333	£323	£1,173	£1,091	£1,173	£964	£27:
Acute Non-Elective	£62	£59	£88	£65	£104	£141	£215	£47	£191	£192	£120	£146	£310	£339	£341	£191	£1,591	£1,328	£2,589	£2,245	£24.
GP Encounters	£59	£64	£58	£61	£106	£116	£102	£131	£144	£149	£136	£188	£192	£209	£204	£283	£393	£383	£413	£459	£13
Community	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Mental Health	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£
Social Care	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	2000
GP PPPY	22	23	25	20	38	40	39	32	52	54	59	51	71	80	91	64	147	150	196	113	
Beddays PPPY - Acute EM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	3	5	7	(
Physical Health																					
Diabetes •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	4.9%	3.4%	7.6%	10.6%	10.6%	11.5%	13.2%	13.4%	16.3%	18.9%	11.1%	28.6%	32.3%	40.7%	40.5%	7.99
COPD ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	1.8%	0.8%	4.4%	5.9%	4.8%	4.0%	12.6%	14.7%	24.4%	16.2%	2.0%
Chronic Respiratory Dis ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%	1.9%	2.2%	2.4%	1.1%	6.4%	7.5%	5.5%	5.5%	16.9%	19.4%	30.2%	21.6%	3.0%
Hypertension •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	11.1%	7.6%	9.4%	25.7%	18.3%	13.3%	23.3%	32.2%	28.5%	26.5%	28.1%	73.0%	69.0%	72.1%	70.3%	17.5%
Obesity •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.1%	18.8%	19.3%	17.5%	16.3%	16.9%	15.4%	18.8%	20.0%	25.0%	31.6%	16.1%	25.8%	35.2%	31.4%	35.1%	12.9%
Mental Health																					•
Anxiety/Phobias ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	8.6%	7.1%	8.5%	26.0%	28.1%	27.8%	33.5%	22.3%	27.0%	30.6%	27.6%	31.4%	33.1%	36.0%	27.0%	14.0%
Depression •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	9.2%	10.9%	6.3%	24.8%	28.8%	32.9%	28.9%	22.1%	29.9%	37.1%	26.6%	25.7%	33.9%	38.4%	18.9%	13.89
Learning Disability •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%	1.3%	2.1%	2.4%	2.0%	1.1%	2.1%	3.5%	0.0%	0.69
Dementia ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.7%	1.0%	11.8%	9.8%	11.6%	16.2%	1.19
Other Characteristics	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.075	0.075	0.070	0.070	0.075	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.070	2.170	1.070		1.070	11.070	0.070	11.070	10.270	
Housebound (eFI) ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	1.0%	1.0%	9.5%	5.7%	10.5%	13.5%	0.69
Social Vulnerability (eFI) •	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	1.8%	1.9%	3.5%	3.5%	5.8%	3.0%	17.2%	17.9%	22.1%	27.0%	2.29
History of Smoking (Tw ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	9.6%	13.9%	7.6%	4.8%	7.0%	10.6%	7.9%	5.5%	10.4%	16.2%	9.5%	3.2%	8.4%	12.8%	5.4%	4.5
Not Fit for Work (In Year) ▼	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	6.1%	8.4%	4.5%	4.2%	7.3%	11.5%	5.6%	5.6%	8.4%	15.5%	6.0%	2.4%	4.0%	5.8%	10.8%	3.79
On a Waiting List	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.1%	0.4%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.4%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		_
On a realiting List.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	U.U%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	L 0.0

Deprivation is an important marker for a variety of issues and not just in health, and this matrix has taken the PCN's population split them by health segment, and then further by high, middle, or low deprivation groupings - this may further allows the PCNs to target that selected cohort of individuals to find where the determinants may be, and where a targeted approach from local partners, could be directed.

Matrix Data - Practice & Deprivation



Practice	*		Bridge Cott	age Surgery			Regal Cham	bers Surgery			The Portn	nill Surgery			Whitwel	Surgery		
Deprivation	•	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Low Deprivation	Middle Deprivation	High Deprivation	Not known	Grand Total
Overall Population N	/leasures							la l							In.			
Population		11,348	2,820	238	486	6,135	5,068	657	264	7,728	4,999	507	117	933	1,436	36	312	43,084
Age		46	42	42	49	43	40	37	35	44	41	38	40	42	41	42	48	43
Male %		49.3%	48.3%	49.2%	54.3%	49.4%	49.9%	46.9%	45.8%	49.2%	48.2%	47.9%	49.6%	49.5%	49.8%	44.4%	56.1%	49.2%
IMD		9.3	6.0	2.6		9.4	6.2	2.9		9.5	6.1	2.8		8.7	6.6	2.5		8.0
% BAME (where record	ded)	7%	9%	13%	7%	13%	18%	21%	16%	13%	17%	28%	21%	4%	4%	8%	2%	12%
Multimorbidity (acute &	chronic)	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.7	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.1
Finance and Activity	y Measur	es		10				**						17.			700 S167	
Spend v Total		£7.4M	£2.0M	£0.2M	£0.2M	£4.1M	£3.2M	£0.5M	£0.1M	£5.0M	£3.0M	£0.3M	£0.0M	£0.7M	£1.1M	£0.0M	£0.3M	£28.0M
PPPY	- Total	£655	£712	£741	£352	£667	£637	£744	£429	£643	£590	£533	£318	£727	£777	£706	£871	£651
Acute Elective		£285	£277	£204	£152	£295	£280	£239	£206	£264	£248	£184	£69	£286	£300	£356	£246	£273
Acute Non-Elective		£243	£304	£409	£102	£246	£231	£364	£116	£250	£214	£224	£147	£175	£218	£168	£328	£242
GP Encounters		£127	£131	£127	£98	£125	£126	£140	£107	£129	£128	£126	£102	£266	£258	£182	£297	£136
Community		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Mental Health		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
Social Care		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
GP PPPY		40	41	40	31	72	72	80	61	44	43	43	35	33	33	22	37	50
Beddays PPPY - Acute	EM	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Physical Health																		
Diabetes	*	7.5%	8.5%	6.3%	6.8%	8.2%	9.5%	10.2%	2.7%	6.5%	7.0%	10.3%	1.7%	11.5%	10.0%	5.6%	15.1%	7.9%
COPD	*	1.8%	2.3%	3.4%	1.2%	1.9%	3.0%	3.5%	0.8%	1.7%	2.0%	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%	1.9%	0.0%	2.6%	2.0%
Chronic Respiratory Dis	S ▼	2.9%	3.3%	3.8%	2.1%	2.9%	4.1%	4.7%	0.8%	2.7%	2.8%	2.2%	0.9%	2.4%	2.8%	0.0%	2.9%	3.0%
Hypertension	*	21.1%	17.4%	10.5%	15.2%	16.6%	15.2%	15.7%	5.7%	16.9%	15.6%	13.6%	6.0%	17.0%	16.6%	11.1%	22.1%	17.5%
Obesity	*	12.9%	15.7%	13.9%	12.1%	16.9%	18.4%	18.0%	9.8%	8.0%	10.8%	12.4%	6.8%	8.1%	8.1%	5.6%	13.1%	12.9%
Mental Health						•				•				•				
Anxiety/Phobias	*	14.5%	17.0%	16.4%	16.3%	13.3%	16.0%	17.5%	10.6%	11.8%	13.7%	14.0%	8.5%	10.6%	12.6%	11.1%	17.9%	14.0%
Depression	*	11.4%	14.7%	16.4%	13.4%	15.2%	18.9%	23.4%	9.5%	11.8%	15.2%	15.8%	11.1%	9.3%	10.2%	8.3%	15.4%	13.8%
Learning Disability	*	0.5%	1.2%	0.8%	1.0%	0.4%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%
Dementia	-	1.1%	1.5%	2.5%	0.2%	1.4%	0.8%	1.2%	1.9%	1.2%		0.2%	0.9%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%
Other Characteristic	s	1.170	1.070	2.070	0.270	1.470	0.070	1.270	1.070	1.270	0.070	0.270	0.070	0.070	0.170	0.070	0.070	,
Housebound (eFI)	*	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	1.1%	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%	0.8%	1.7%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%
Social Vulnerability (eF	1) 🔻	2.7%	2.1%	3.4%	1.4%	1.7%	2.5%	2.9%	1.5%	1.8%	2.2%	3.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.1%	0.0%	3.2%	2.2%
History of Smoking (Tw		4.2%	6.6%	5.9%	3.9%	3.3%	6.2%	9.3%	6.1%	2.7%	5.3%	9.9%	6.0%	4.4%	4.4%	2.8%	5.4%	4.5%
Not Fit for Work (In Yea		2.6%	4.8%	3.4%	3.7%	3.4%	4.8%	8.5%		3.2%	4.8%	8.5%		2.6%	2.6%		3.5%	3.7%
On a Waiting List									3.4%				2.6%			2.8%		
On a waiting List	•	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

This detailed table is offering actionable insight across the PCN's population for each Practice and where their populations are in terms of a High, Medium, or Low deprivation ranking; deprivation is levied from the IMD 2019 scoring per LSOA, and is applied for every individual registered within the PCN's borders.

Bubble Matrix - Conditions



x% also have

people with this

condition



						Othe	r Condit	ions		_		_	
Initial Condition	Alzheimers Disease	Asthma	COPD	Heart Failure	Anxiety	Autism Spectrum Disorder	Dementia	Alcohol Abuse	ABCD Prescription	Anti- Depressive Prescription	Activity Limitation (eFI)	Housebound (eFI)	No Other Condition
Alzheimers Disease		16%	7%	7%	22%	0%	95%	2%	54%	40%	0%	18%	2%
Asthma	1%		6%	2%	21%	1%	1%	4%	20%	20%	0%	1%	47%
COPD	2%	39%		12%	33%	1%	5%	11%	57%	33%	1%	5%	0%
Heart Failure	4%	17%	19%		28%	1%	8%	7%	89%	27%	1%	6%	0%
Anxiety	1%	21%	5%	3%		2%	2%	6%	26%	45%	0%	1%	19%
Autism Spectrum Disorder	0%	20%	1%	1%	25%		1%	1%	7%	19%	0%	0%	39%
Dementia	58%	15%	9%	10%	25%	1%		4%	56%	46%	0%	17%	3%
Alcohol Abuse	0%	17%	6%	3%	26%	0%	1%		31%	24%	0%	1%	20%
Prescription Anti-Depressive	2%	17%	7% 5%	7% 3%	22%	0% 1%	4%	6% 6%	30%	24%	0%	3% 2%	20%
Prescription Activity	5%	16%	26%	21%	26%	0%	11%	5%	63%	37%	0%	5%	5%
Limitation (eFI) Housebound (eFI)	19%	14%	15%	13%	20%	1%	29%	3%	64%	45%	0%	0 /0	12%
. rousebouriu (er i)	1070	1-470	.576	.570	2370	1.70	2070	U /0	0470	4370	3 70		1270

When targeting specific conditions to look into, a simple Bubble matrix helps us understand that a single condition will rarely be occurring by itself; this chart then highlights the PCN's linked conditions and breaks down the common diseases linked together in the PCN.

Bio-Psycho-Social Indicators - Example






This chart gives a comparison across various selected risk conditions or characteristics, within the health segments of the PCN's population.

Here we have selected a few characteristics within the PCN's data as an example, within each segment the percentage with that risk condition is highlighted proportionately by the shaded bars in the chart.

Risk Modelling - Prevalence against GP Activity & A&E





This Risk Model looks at the PCN's prevalence for major conditions, and this chart illustrates the number of people with certain risk factors, and also shows the impact on service utilisation, that these factors have. The height of each bar for each risk factor, shows the number of people who meet that criteria, as shown on the left hand axis; the horizontal lines relate to the correlation of a risk factor and an increase in impact on the selected target, shown on the right hand axis.

Public Health - Cancer Screening





Cancer Screening

	Period	HERTFORDSHIRE AND WEST ESSEX	HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN	BRIDGE COTTAGE SURGERY	THE PORTMILL SURGERY	BANCROFT MEDICAL CENTRE	REGAL CHAMBERS SURGERY	SURGERY
Women, aged 25-49, with a record of cervical screening in the last 3.5 yrs (denominator includes PCAs)	2020/21	73.3	77	78.7	76.7	76.7	75.2	81.8
Women, aged 50-64, with a record of cervical screening in the last 5.5 yrs (denominator includes PCAs)	2020/21	78.2	80.9	80.2	81.6	81.6	80.3	79.5
Persons, 25-49, attending cervical screening within target period (3.5 year coverage, %)	2020/21	73	76.8	77.9	76.6	76.5	75.5	81.6
Persons, 50-64, attending cervical screening within target period (5.5 year coverage, %)	2020/21	77	80.3	79.4	81.9	80.8	79.3	78
Persons, 50-70, screened for breast cancer in last 36 months (3 year coverage, %)	2020/21	63.9	74.5	75.6	79.8	69.8	73.2	71.6
Persons, 50-70, screened for breast cancer within 6 months of invitation (Uptake, %)	2020/21	61.3	44.1	45.5	36.4	45.1	40.9	56.5
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer within 6 months of invitation (Uptake, %)	2020/21	72.1	77	78.1	80	73.8	78.2	66.1
Persons, 60-74, screened for bowel cancer in last 30 months (2.5 year coverage, %)	2020/21	68.8	74.3	75.9	77.4	70.8	74	66.7

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk





Similar E Significantly Worse Significantly Better

Public Health - Mortality





	Period	Hertfordshire CCGs	NHS HERTFORDSHIRE AND WEST ESSEX ICB - 06K	HITCHIN AND WHITWELL PCN
Percentage of deaths that occur at home (All age)	2021	25.3	26.8	26.2
PYLL - Neoplasms	2021	505	509.8	399.7
PYLL - Diseases of the circulatory system	2021	737.5	782.8	943.9
PYLL - All Cause	2021	1537.7	1574	1513.8
Premature Mortality - Respiratory Disease	2021	19.2	19.5	17.7
Premature Mortality - Liver Disease	2021	14.6	14.6	
Premature Mortality - Cardiovasular Disease	2021	53.8	56.1	60.5
Premature Mortality - Cancer	2021	98.5	99.9	78.6
Premature Mortality - All Cause	2021	269.6	276.1	266.5

Similar Significantly Worse Significantly Better

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk






Public Health - Life Expectancy






Life Expectancy




Contribution of different age bands to the gap between the most and least deprived areas within North Hertfordshire



Labels inside bar indicate contribution to the life expectancy gap in years for each age group. This can be used to target interventions at age groups with the biggest inequality in life expectancy. The gap in life expectancy at birth for females is 3.32 years and for males is 6.26 years.

PH.Intelligence@hertfordshire.gov.uk











Working together for a healthier future